Chapter

Nigeria

Anthony Idigbe, SAN

PUNUKA Attorneys & Solicitors

Omone Foy-Yamah

1 Arbitration Agreements

1.1 What, if any, are the legal requirements of an arbitration agreement under the laws of Nigeria?

The main arbitration law of Nigeria is the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1988 (ACA) (Cap A18 Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004). This is the federal or national law governing arbitration in Nigeria. In 2009 Lagos State enacted its own state law, Lagos State Arbitration Law 2009 (the Lagos Law). Some other states within the federation have their own arbitration laws. This work focuses on the federal law (ACA), but references will be made to the Lagos Law where necessary.

The basic legal requirement of an arbitration agreement under this law is that an arbitration agreement must be in writing or must be contained in a written document signed by the parties. See section 1 of the ACA. Section 1 presupposes that arbitration must be consensual and indicates that an arbitration agreement may be either an express clause in a contract whereby parties agree to refer future disputes to arbitration or in a separate document (Submission Agreement) whereby parties agree to submit their existing dispute to arbitration. An arbitration agreement may also be inferred from written correspondence or pleadings exchanged between parties.

However, there are situations of non consensual or compulsory arbitration as depicted in statutes and consumer standard form contracts. For instance, under the Pension Reform Act, the regulator National Pension Commission PENCOM can refer any dispute to arbitration. Also under the National Investment Promotion Act, any foreign investor who registers under the Act is automatically entitled to bring a treaty arbitration under the ICSID system. Arbitration provisions contained in such statutes are deemed to be binding on any person to whom they apply.

The following additional legal requirements for a valid arbitration agreement can be distilled from the provisions of the ACA:

- The arbitration agreement must be in respect of a dispute capable of settlement by arbitration under the laws of Nigeria. See section 48(b)(i) and 52(b)(i) of the ACA.
- The parties to the arbitration agreement must have legal capacity under the law applicable to them. See section 48(a)(i) and section 52(2)(a)(i) of the ACA.
- The arbitration agreement must be valid under the law to which the parties have subjected it or under the laws of Nigeria. In other words, the agreement must be operative, capable of being performed and enforceable against the parties. See section 48(a)(ii) and 52(a)(ii) of the ACA.

1.2 What other elements ought to be incorporated in an arbitration agreement?

Apart from the requirement of writing (in the case of consensual arbitration), other elements like the place (or seat) of arbitration, language of arbitration, number of arbitrators, governing law, etc. ought to be incorporated in the arbitration agreement. Including such elements in the arbitration agreement would ensure that the parties have good measure of control and autonomy over the arbitration procedure especially since most of the provisions of the ACA itself are subject to the express agreement of parties, that is, non mandatory. See section 16 of the ACA.

Section 6 of the ACA provides the default number of arbitrators as three in the absence of any express agreement by the parties. The default number of arbitrators under the Lagos State Arbitration law is one (sole arbitrator) but parties are free to stipulate otherwise by the arbitration agreement. See section 7(3) of the Lagos State Arbitration Law 2009. The method or procedure for appointment of the arbitrators could also be specified in the arbitration agreement. In the case of a sole arbitrator, it may be joint appointment by parties or by an appointing authority and in the case of three arbitrators, each party could appoint one arbitrator and the two appointed will then appoint the third. In the case of multi party arbitrations (arbitrations between more than two parties), it is more useful for parties to agree on an appointing authority. See section 7 of the ACA on the procedure for appointing arbitrators where no procedure is stipulated in the arbitration agreement.

Apart from the above, the level of qualification or expertise which the arbitrator or arbitrators should have, time lines for conclusion of the arbitration and giving final award, and governing law may be stipulated in the arbitration agreement. Parties can choose from a variety of arbitration laws such as the UNCITRAL Model Law, ICC Rules, New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, ICSID Convention, IBA Rules of Taking Evidence, the ACA and the Lagos State Arbitration Law 2009. The arbitration agreement should state whether the choice of law for the contract also applies to the arbitration agreement or not. It is possible to choose, for example, the UK Arbitration Act and ICC Arbitration Rules as applicable to the arbitration and Nigerian substantive law without its conflict of law rules as applicable to the dispute or subject matter of the contract.

1.3 What has been the approach of the national courts to the enforcement of arbitration agreements?

Nigerian Courts have adopted a positive approach to the enforcement of arbitration agreements. A review of the decided cases shows a general recognition by Nigerian Courts of arbitration

as a good and valid alternative dispute resolution mechanism. In ***C.N. Onuselogu Ent. Ltd. v. Afribank (Nig.) Ltd.*** (2005) 1 NWLR Part 940 577, the Court held that arbitral proceedings are a recognised means of resolving disputes and should not be taken lightly by both counsel and parties. However, there must be an agreement to arbitrate, which is a voluntary submission to arbitration.

Where there is an arbitration clause in a contract that is the subject matter of Court proceedings and a party to the Court proceedings promptly raises the issue of an arbitration clause, the Courts will order a stay of proceedings and refer the parties to arbitration. See sections 4 and 5 of the ACA. See sections 6(3) and 21 of the Lagos Law which empowers the Court to grant interim orders or reliefs to preserve the *res* or rights of parties pending arbitration. Although the ACA in section 13 gives the arbitral tribunal power to make interim orders of preservation before or during arbitral proceedings, it does not expressly confer the power of preservative orders on the Court and section 34 of the ACA limits the Courts' power of intervention in arbitration to the express provisions of the ACA. The usefulness of section 6(3) of the Lagos State Arbitration Law 2009 is seen when there is an urgent need for interim preservative orders and the arbitral tribunal is yet to be constituted. Our experience in this regard is that such applications find no direct backing under the ACA and have always been brought under the Rules of Court and under the Court's inherent jurisdiction to grant interim orders. However, in ***Afribank Nigeria Plc v Haco*** (unreported FHC/L/CS/476/2008) the Court granted interim relief and directed the parties to arbitrate under the provisions of ACA. Upon the publication of the award the parties returned to the Court for its enforcement as judgment of the Court.

The Courts in Nigeria are often inclined to uphold the provisions of sections 4 and 5 of the ACA provided the necessary conditions are met. A live case in point is the case of Minaj ***Systems Ltd. v. Global Plus Communication Systems Ltd. & 5 Ors*** Unreported Suit No. LD/275/2008 in which the author is involved at the time of this work. In this case, the Claimant instituted a Court action in breach of the arbitration agreement in the main contract and on the Defendant's application, the Court granted an order staying proceedings in the interim for 30 days pending arbitration. In ***Niger Progress Ltd. v. N.E.I. Corp.*** (1989) 3 NWLR (Part 107) 68 the Supreme Court followed section 5 of the ACA which gives the Court the jurisdiction to stay proceedings where there is an arbitration agreement. In ***M.V. Lupex V. N.O.C*** (2003) 15 NWLR (Part 844) 469, the Supreme Court held that it was an abuse of the Court process for the respondent to institute a fresh suit in Nigeria against the appellant for the same dispute during the pendency of the arbitration proceedings in London. In ***Akpaji v. Udemba*** (2003) 6 NWLR (Part 815) 169 the Court held that where a defendant fails to raise the issue of an arbitration clause and rely on same at the early stage of the proceeding but takes positive steps in the action, he would be deemed to have waived his right under the arbitration clause.

2 Governing Legislation

2.1 What legislation governs the enforcement of arbitration proceedings in Nigeria?

Parties are free to choose the law applicable to the arbitration proceedings but where they have not predetermined the law, the arbitral proceedings shall be governed by the ACA. Section 15(1) and (2) of the ACA provide that the arbitral proceedings shall be in accordance with the procedure contained in the Arbitration Rules set out in the First Schedule to the Act. Where the Rules contain no provision in respect of any matter, the arbitral tribunal may conduct the arbitral proceedings in such a manner as it considers appropriate so as to ensure a fair hearing. The ACA governs both the arbitral proceedings itself and the enforcement of the award. Since arbitration is a residuary matter under the 1999 Constitution, both the Federal and state governments can legislate on it. There are existing arbitration laws by Lagos State and the northern states of Nigeria. Lagos State Arbitration Law is perhaps the most developed and the state aims by this to make Lagos the centre for arbitration in Nigeria.

2.2 Does the same arbitration law govern both domestic and international arbitration proceedings? If not, how do the laws differ?

The ACA and the Rules made pursuant to it govern domestic arbitration and may be adopted in an international arbitration. Generally, the ACA and the Rules apply to any arbitration whose seat is Nigeria or which parties have agreed will be governed by the ACA. (See the Long title of the ACA and section 15 thereof.) However, parties are free to choose the law applicable to the arbitration proceedings and may even choose the arbitration law of a different country or the rules of an international or foreign arbitral institution. Section 53 of the ACA provides that the parties to an international commercial agreement may agree in writing that disputes in relation to the agreement shall be referred to arbitration in accordance with the Arbitration Rules set out in the First Schedule to this Act, or the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules or any other international arbitration rules acceptable to the parties.

The Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York Convention) which is incorporated as the Second Schedule to the ACA is applicable to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards arising out of international commercial arbitration.

2.3 Is the law governing international arbitration based on the UNCITRAL Model Law? Are there significant differences between the governing law and the Model Law?

The ACA is largely based on the UNCITRAL Model Law with minimal differences.

2.4 To what extent are there mandatory rules governing international arbitration proceedings sited in Nigeria?

Arbitration under the ACA is generally consensual and there is party autonomy in the conduct of arbitration proceedings, including international arbitration proceedings. Most of the provisions in the ACA and the Rules are subject to and may be varied by the parties' agreement. However, section 33(a) of the ACA implies that there are some provisions of the ACA which are deemed mandatory and which parties cannot derogate from. In ***C.N. Onuselogu Ent. Ltd. v. Afribank (Nig.) Ltd.*** (2005) 1 NWLR Part 940 577 the Court held that the real intention of the legislature in the Arbitration Rules where the word "shall" is used is to make those provisions mandatory, therefore the procedural directions and provisions of the rules must be complied with.

The above decision of the Court is in line with sections 15 of the ACA and Article 1 of the Arbitration Rules. These provisions imply that the Arbitration Rules are mandatory for arbitration proceedings under the ACA and even where the tribunal can fill in the gaps, the tribunal must at all times conduct the proceedings in accordance with the ACA and fair hearing principles. Some mandatory provisions under the Arbitration Rules are:

(i) **Article 3:** To commence arbitration proceedings, the Claimant must give Notice of Arbitration to the Respondent which shall contain a demand that the dispute be referred to arbitration, names and addresses of the parties, a reference to the arbitration agreement, reference to the contract from which the dispute arises, the general nature of the claim and an indication of the amount involved, the relief or remedy sought, a nomination of arbitrators.

(ii) **Article 7:** Procedure for appointment of three arbitrators: each party appoints one and two appoint the third to act as presiding arbitrator.

(iii) **Article 9:** Arbitrator's declaration/disclosure of independence and impartiality.

(iv) **Articles 18 & 19:** Statement of Claim and Statement of Defence, the contents of a statement of claim should be the names and addresses of parties, statement of facts, the issue, and the relief or remedy sought.

(v) **Article 25:** Tribunal's notice to parties of date, time and place for oral hearing.

(vi) **Article 31:** Award or decision of a 3-man tribunal must be made by a majority of them.

(vii) **Article 32:** Form and Effect of Award:

(viii) **Article 33:** Tribunal must apply the law designated by the parties as applicable to the substance of the dispute and shall decide in accordance with the terms of the contract.

3 Jurisdiction

3.1 Are there any subject matters that may not be referred to arbitration under the governing law of Nigeria? What is the general approach used in determining whether or not a dispute is "arbitrable"?

The ACA does not stipulate any particular subject matter that may not be referred to arbitration. The question of whether or not a dispute is arbitrable is therefore left for interpretation by the Courts. In ***Ogunwale v. Syrian Arab Republic*** (2002) 9 NWLR (Part 771) 127 the Court of Appeal held that the test for determining whether a dispute is referable to arbitration is that the dispute or difference must necessarily arise from the clause contained in the agreement. However not all disputes are necessarily arbitrable. Only disputes arising from commercial transactions are referable to arbitration. See section 57(1) of the ACA on the definition of arbitration and commercial disputes. Disputes not falling within the category of commercial disputes (e.g. domestic disputes) would not be arbitrable under the ACA, though they may be referable to customary arbitration.

Also, some matters are generally more suitable for litigation than arbitration. For instance, applications for immediate enforcement of right or preservation of *res* e.g. the enforcement of fundamental human rights, application for *anton pillar, mareva* and other injunctions are less suitable for arbitration than litigation. In addition, since an arbitrator has no statutory power of joinder under the ACA, multi party proceedings may be less suitable for arbitration unless the arbitration agreement makes specific provision for it.

3.2 Is an arbitrator permitted to rule on the question of his or her own jurisdiction?

An arbitrator is permitted to rule on his or her own jurisdiction. Section 12 of the ACA provides that an arbitral tribunal shall be competent to rule on questions pertaining to its own jurisdiction and on any objections with respect to the existence or validity of an arbitration agreement. In any arbitral proceedings, a plea that the arbitral tribunal does not have jurisdiction or is exceeding the scope of its authority should be raised promptly as soon as the matter alleged to be beyond the scope of its authority is raised during the proceedings and the tribunal may, in either case, admit a later plea if it considers that the delay was justified. The arbitral tribunal may rule on any plea referred to it under subsection (3) of section 12, either as a preliminary question or in an award on the merits, and such ruling shall be final and binding.

3.3 What is the approach of the national courts in Nigeria towards a party who commences court proceedings in apparent breach of an arbitration agreement?

The Nigerian Courts have a serious approach to the commencement of Court proceedings in an apparent breach of an arbitration agreement. Generally, where a party in Court proceedings raises the issue of an arbitration agreement promptly, the Court will uphold the arbitration agreement and stay proceedings pending arbitration. However, the Courts will usually require the requesting party not to have taken some positive steps in furtherance of the proceedings apart from appearance in Court. The Notice of Arbitration or any other evidence that arbitral proceedings have been set in motion will help to convince the Court that the party invoking the arbitration clause is serious and desirous of pursuing arbitration. But in the absence of that, the Courts are still inclined to stay proceedings in favour of arbitration upon being convinced that there exists a valid arbitration agreement.

However, while some Courts treat an arbitration agreement as a compelling ground for a stay of Court proceedings, others treat it as discretionary. This point is illustrated by the cases of ***M.V. Lupex V. N.O.C.*** (2003) 15NWLR (Part 844) 469 and ***K.S.U.D.B. V. Fanz Ltd.*** (1986) 5 NWLR (Part 39) 74.

3.4 Under what circumstances can a court address the issue of the jurisdiction and competence of the national arbitral tribunal?

Generally, by virtue of section 12(4) of the ACA, a ruling by the tribunal on its jurisdiction is final and binding and is not subject to appeal. This is strengthened by section 34 of the ACA which provides that "*A court shall not intervene in any matter governed by this Act, except where so provided in this Act*". However, an aggrieved party who can prove circumstances of lack of impartiality or independence on the part of the tribunal can challenge the tribunal's ruling in Court on the basis of section 8(3) (a) of the ACA which provides that "*An arbitrator may be challenged if circumstances exist that give rise to justifiable doubts as to his impartiality or independence*". But even so, the challenge should be first made to the tribunal itself unless the challenged arbitrator withdraws from office or the other party agrees to the challenge. See section 9(3) of the ACA. If the other party does not agree to the challenge and the challenged tribunal does not withdraw, the Court can address the issue at the instance of the challenging party. See Article 12 of the Arbitration Rules.

Also, the Court can address the issue of jurisdiction and competence of an arbitral tribunal after the award has been made and proceedings have been instituted for setting aside or refusal of recognition and enforcement of the award. Lack of jurisdiction is not expressly stated to be a ground for setting aside or refusal of recognition and enforcement of an award under the ACA so as to make it an issue which the Court can address, but it has been held to constitute misconduct on the part of the tribunal for which an award may be set aside under section 30 of the ACA. See the case

of ***Taylor Woodrow Ltd. V. GMBH*** (1991) 2 NWLR (Part 175) 604.

3.5 Under what, if any, circumstances does the national law of Nigeria allow an arbitral tribunal to assume jurisdiction over individuals or entities which are not themselves party to an agreement to arbitrate?

Under the ACA, an arbitration agreement must be valid otherwise the Court may set aside or refuse recognition and enforcement of the award. A valid arbitration agreement is one which unequivocally evidences parties' agreement to arbitrate whether in form of a clause in the main contract or a separate submission agreement or an exchange of pleadings or correspondence between the parties. See section 1 of the ACA. An arbitral tribunal has no jurisdiction under the ACA over parties who are not themselves party to an agreement to arbitrate and any award made without jurisdiction will be null and void. In practice, where persons who were not party to the arbitration agreement are sought to be enjoined, it is advisable to obtain a submission agreement.

Note section 40(3) of the Lagos Arbitration Law provides that a party may, by application and with the consent of the parties, be joined to arbitral proceedings. Also an arbitration agreement can be inferred from an exchange of communication and pleadings of the parties.

3.6 What laws or rules prescribe limitation periods for the commencement of arbitrations in Nigeria and what is the typical length of such periods? Do the national courts of Nigeria consider such rules procedural or substantive, i.e., what choice of law rules govern the application of limitation periods?

Generally, under Nigerian contract law, there are limitation periods for commencement of various civil actions. For simple breach of contract, it is 6 years, for an action relating to land, it is 12 years and for actions against public officers it is 3 months. See Limitation Laws of the various states, Fatal Accidents Act and Public Officers Protection Act. The ACA does not provide limitation periods for commencement of arbitration; the Lagos Law in section 35(1) provides that Limitation laws shall apply to arbitral proceedings as they apply to judicial proceedings and in section 35(4) defines "limitation laws" to mean "such limitation laws as are applicable under the law governing the subject of the dispute". Thus limitation laws are considered as substantive and are determined by the law applicable to the main contract.

4 Choice of Law Rules

4.1 How is the law applicable to the substance of a dispute determined?

The law applicable to the substance of a dispute is determined by the particular system of law governing the contract itself i.e. interpretation and validity of the contract, the rights and obligations of the parties, the mode of performance and the consequences of breach of the contract. In a purely domestic arbitration, the applicable law will usually be Nigerian law, unless otherwise expressly agreed by the parties. In international arbitration, two or more different national laws may be applicable to the substance of the contract and parties may by agreement choose to be governed by either of the national laws. The principle of party autonomy largely influences the choice of law applicable to the dispute. The ACA, like the UNCITRAL Model Law, allows the parties to choose the rules of law applicable to their contract but if parties fail to make such a choice, the arbitral tribunal shall apply the law applicable to the dispute. The conflict of law rules are complex but follow or mirror English law. Where the subject matter is property located in Nigeria, *lex situs*, that is the law of the place where the thing is located will apply and if located in a foreign country, that law will apply. For contracts, the law of the place of residence of the respondent or where the contract was entered into or the place of performance will apply. Where personal law is involved or where a native is involved the native law and custom would apply except if the person expressed a contrary intention e.g. marriage under the Marriage Act is an expression of contrary intention. For a company, the law of the place of central command and control will apply.

4.2 In what circumstances will mandatory laws (of the seat or of another jurisdiction) prevail over the law chosen by the parties?

Where the seat of arbitration is Nigeria, mandatory laws of Nigeria would prevail over any agreement of parties that is contrary to public policy or that will amount to a contravention of another relevant law within the jurisdiction. For instance a choice of foreign law as the law governing the contract which is perceived to be intended to evade tax laws, or as an outright breach of constitutional provisions may not be upheld. Similarly, as a matter of public policy, Courts in Nigeria even in applying foreign law as the law chosen by the parties, are not obliged to apply provisions of foreign law that are incompatible with their own mandatory rules or those of another country with which the contract is closely connected. The doctrine of freedom of contract or party autonomy is exercisable to the extent of statutory restriction or intervention. See the case of ***M.V. Panormos Bay v. Plam Nig. Plc*** (2004) 5 NWLR (Part 855) 1 at 14; ***Tawa Petroleum v. M.V. Sea Winner*** 3 NSC 25.

4.3 What choice of law rules govern the formation, validity, and legality of arbitration agreements?

Where parties have not expressly chosen the law applicable to the arbitration, the law of the seat of arbitration would apply. Thus, where the seat of arbitration is Nigeria, the ACA and the arbitration Rules would govern the formation, validity and legality of the arbitration agreement as well as the entire arbitral procedure, unless parties have expressly stated otherwise. See sections 15 and 53 of the ACA.

5 Selection of Arbitral Tribunal

5.1 Are there any limits to the parties' autonomy to select arbitrators?

Under the ACA, parties have autonomy to appoint arbitrators of their choice. This autonomy is however limited to the extent that the arbitrators so appointed must be independent and impartial and must make a declaration or disclosure of any circumstances that may affect their independence or impartiality. Also, the parties' choice of arbitrators must be in accordance with the arbitration agreement itself. For instance, the chosen arbitrator(s) must have the experience or professional qualification stipulated in the arbitration agreement, in order to have a properly composed tribunal and consequently a valid award.

5.2 If the parties' chosen method for selecting arbitrators fails, is there a default procedure?

Under the ACA, parties are free to agree on the method of appointment of arbitrators but where they do not stipulate the method or the method chosen by them fails, the arbitrator(s) will be appointed by the Court. Section 7 of the ACA prescribes a default procedure. It provides that the parties may specify in the arbitration agreement the procedure to be followed in appointing an arbitrator. Where no procedure is specified, in the case of an arbitration with three arbitrators, each party shall appoint one arbitrator and the two thus appointed shall appoint the third but if a party fails to appoint the arbitrator within thirty days of receipt of a request to do so by the other party or if the two arbitrators fail to agree on the third arbitrator within thirty days of their appointments, the appointment shall be made by the Court on the application of any party to the arbitration agreement. In the case of an arbitration with one arbitrator, where the parties fail to agree on the arbitrator, the appointment shall be made by the Court on the application of any party to the arbitration agreement made within thirty days of such disagreement. Where, under an appointment procedure agreed upon by the parties, a party fails to act as required under the procedure or the parties or two arbitrators are unable to reach agreement as required under the procedure or a third party, including an institution, fails to perform any duty imposed on it under the procedure, any party may request the Court to take the necessary measure, unless the appointment procedure agreed upon by the parties provides other means for securing the appointment. A decision of the Court under subsections (2) and (3) of section 7 shall not be subject to appeal.

See the case of ***Ogunwale v. Syrian Arab Republic*** (2002) 9 NWLR (Part 771) 127 on the right of appeal against a decision of the High Court appointing an arbitrator and on the constitutionality of sections 7(4) and 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act prohibiting the right of appeal from a decision of the High Court appointing *vis a vis* section 241(1) (a), (b) and (c) of the 1999 Constitution.

5.3 Can a court intervene in the selection of arbitrators? If so, how?

As said above, a Court can intervene in the appointment of arbitrators where parties fail to agree on the procedure or method of appointment or where the procedure agreed upon is not complied with. In ***Ogunwale v. Syrian Arab Republic*** *supra* the Court held that by virtue of Article 8(1) of the Arbitration Rules, when a Court is requested to appoint an arbitrator pursuant to Article 6 or Article 7, the party who makes the request shall send to the Court an affidavit together with a copy of the contract out of or in relation to which the contract has arisen and a copy of the arbitration agreement if it is not contained in the contract and the court may require from either party such information as it deems necessary to fulfil its functions. The Court further held that the Arbitration Rules govern and regulate the Arbitration Panel. They are to an Arbitration Panel what the Rules of Court are to regular Courts. Where non compliance with a rule of Court is peripheral, i.e. not affecting the foundation or fundamentals of the case, it could be curable and a Court of law and equity will treat it as a mere irregularity and cure it. In the current case, the names of arbitrators were furnished to the trial Court through a letter instead of by an affidavit and the Court held it to be a peripheral irregularity that could be cured.

Apart from the power of a Court to intervene in the case of non appointment by the parties, the Court can also intervene to replace appointed arbitrators who cannot act due to lack of independence and impartiality or any other circumstance on which an arbitrator may be challenged. Section 11 of the ACA provides that where the mandate of an arbitrator terminates under section 9 or 10 of the Act (by challenge or failure or impossibility to act), or because of his withdrawal from office or revocation of his mandate by the parties' agreement, or for any other reason whatsoever, a substitute arbitrator shall be appointed in accordance with the same rules and procedure that applied to the arbitrator who is being replaced. Thus an arbitrator who is appointed by the Court and who is unable to act for any reason will be replaced by the Court.

5.4 What are the requirements (if any) as to arbitrator independence, neutrality and/or impartiality and for disclosure of potential conflicts of interest for arbitrators imposed by law or issued by arbitration institutions within Nigeria?

It is a fundamental requirement under the ACA that an arbitrator must be independent and impartial. The arbitrator has a duty to ensure and maintain his independence and impartiality and to disclose any circumstances which may affect his independence and impartiality. This duty enures throughout the arbitration proceedings and until the final award and a breach of it may constitute misconduct for which an award may be set aside. Even a party-appointed arbitrator is bound by this duty to be and to remain independent and impartial. The requirement of independence and impartiality of an arbitrator is emphasised by section 8 of the ACA and the section provides for the challenge of an arbitrator if circumstances exist that give rise to justifiable doubts as to the arbitrator's impartiality or independence.

Generally, the concept of impartiality presupposes that an arbitrator must not be bias in favour of one of the parties or as regards the issues in dispute. Independence and neutrality presupposes that the arbitrator has no such relationship or derives no such benefits from any of the parties as would oblige him to act in favour of that party. From the wordings of section 8 of the ACA, the arbitrator's duty to maintain his independence and impartiality or his duty of disclosure is a mandatory provision from which the parties cannot derogate. Article 12 of 2008 Arbitration Rules of the Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration Lagos contains similar provisions on the independence and impartiality or an arbitral tribunal. Article 12.2 thereof emphatically provides that no arbitrator shall act in the arbitration as advocates of any party and no arbitrator, whether before or after appointment, shall advise any party on the merits or outcome of the dispute.

6 Procedural Rules

6.1 Are there laws or rules governing the procedure of arbitration in Nigeria? If so, do those laws or rules apply to all arbitral proceedings sited in Nigeria?

The arbitration procedure in Nigeria is governed by the national law (the ACA and the Arbitration Rules made pursuant to the ACA) as well as various state laws. The ACA and the Arbitration Rules apply to all arbitral proceedings whose seat is Nigeria unless the parties have agreed on another choice of law. The ACA and the Rules and other state arbitration law also apply to any arbitration which parties have agreed will govern the dispute. (See the Long title of the ACA and section 15 thereof.) Note that enforcement of arbitral awards arising out of international commercial arbitration is governed by the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York Convention).

Apart from the national law, other laws that may be applicable to arbitral proceedings in Nigeria include the Lagos State Arbitration Law 2009 and the Lagos Court of Arbitration Law 2009, Arbitration Law of Northern Nigeria; Rules of Court and International Arbitration Laws.

6.2 In arbitration proceedings conducted in Nigeria, are there any particular procedural steps that are required by law?

Under the ACA and in practice, certain procedural steps are required, especially for a valid institution or commencement of arbitral proceedings. These include:

- Issuance or communication of the Notice of Arbitration by the Claimant to the respondent in the prescribed format. See Article 3 of the Arbitration Rules. 30 days' notice is required.
- Appointment and Constitution of the tribunal. See Article 6-13.
- Meetings (Preliminary Meeting, Prehearing Meeting, pre-hearing Review, Inspection of documents or subject matter, etc.). See Article 16.
- Hearing and determination of preliminary issues if any. See sections 12 & 13 of the ACA.
- Parties' presentation of respective cases, documents and any other evidence. See section 19 of the ACA; and Article 18–23 of the Rules.
- Hearing (if oral evidence is to be taken). See Section 20 of the ACA; and Articles 24 & 25 of the Rules.
- Re-hearing in the event of replacement of an arbitrator. Note that re-hearing is mandatory in the event of replacement of the sole or presiding arbitrator but in the event of replacement of any other arbitrator, re-hearing is at the discretion of the tribunal. See Article 14 of the Arbitration Rules.
- Final submissions (oral or written). See Article 29 of the Rules
- Publication of Final Award by tribunal to the parties. Section 24 – 28 ACA; Articles 31 & 32 of the Rules.

Apart from the above, there are other procedural steps under the ACA such as a procedure for default of parties in appearance and presentation of a case or pleadings, a procedure for challenge of arbitrators, a procedure for enforcement of award or challenge of enforcement, etc.

6.3 Are there any rules that govern the conduct of an arbitration hearing?

The ACA and Arbitration Rules contain general provisions on the conduct of arbitral hearings such as appointment of experts, presentation of evidence and so on. However, they do not contain such detailed provisions like the IBA Rules of Taking Evidence in International Commercial Arbitration. The IBA Rules of Evidence may be adopted by the arbitral tribunal with the consent of parties.

6.4 What powers and duties does the national law of Nigeria impose upon arbitrators?

Under the ACA the arbitral tribunal has several powers including the power to:

- Rule on its own jurisdiction.
- Issue interim orders of preservation.
- Appoint experts.
- Order the production of documents or evidence.
- Administer oaths or take affirmations of parties and witnesses appearing before it.
- Extend time for filing case statements, pleadings, written statements etc.
- On its own volition, correct in the award any errors in computation, clerical or typographical errors or any errors of a similar nature.
- Terminate arbitral proceedings and issue a consent award upon settlement or agreement of parties.
- Determine the admissibility, relevance, materiality and weight of any evidence placed before it.
- Make interim, interlocutory or partial awards.

The arbitrator also has several duties under the ACA some of which are:

- Duty to act in accordance with the arbitration agreement.
- Duty to decide the dispute in accordance with the terms of the contract.
- Duty to maintain its impartiality and independence throughout the arbitral proceedings.
- Duty to give the parties adequate advance notice of the date, place and time of hearings.
- Duty to give each party full and equal opportunity of presenting its case.
- Duty to act fairly between the parties and in accordance with natural justice.
- Duty to act within the scope of its jurisdiction.
- Duty to decide and dispose of all issues submitted to it by the parties.
- Duty to give a reasoned and valid award and to ensure that the award is enforceable.

6.5 Are there rules restricting the appearance of lawyers from other jurisdictions in legal matters in Nigeria and, if so, is it clear that such restrictions do not apply to arbitration proceedings sited in Nigeria?

Under the Legal Practitioners Act, a person who is not called to the Nigerian bar is not entitled to appear before a Court in Nigeria or act as a solicitor unless on conditions stipulated in sections 2 and 7 of the Act. By virtue of sections 2 and 7 of the Legal Practitioners Act Cap. L11 Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004, a person is only entitled to practise as a barrister and solicitor in Nigeria if he has been called to the Nigerian Bar, or he is admitted by warrant of the Chief Justice on special circumstances or if he is exercising the functions of the office of the Attorney General, Solicitor General or Director of Public Prosecutions or such civil service office specified by the Attorney General.

The above restrictions do not strictly apply to the representation of parties in an arbitration. Under the ACA, parties need not be represented by lawyers or legal practitioners. Article 4 of the Arbitration Rules provides that the parties may be represented or assisted by legal practitioners of their choice. The wording of Article 4 and the use of the word "may" places no jurisdictional restrictions on persons appearing on behalf of parties before an arbitral tribunal. Further the restriction in the Legal Practitioners Act seems clearly to be limited to appearance in "Court" and since an arbitral proceeding is not a Court proceeding, the restriction is not applicable to foreign legal practitioners appearing before an arbitral tribunal in Nigeria.

6.6 To what extent are there laws or rules in Nigeria providing for arbitrator immunity?

The ACA does not provide for arbitrator immunity but the Lagos Arbitration Law 2009 does provide for arbitrator immunity.

Section 18 of the Lagos Law provides that an arbitrator is not liable for anything done or omitted in the discharge or purported discharge of the arbitrator's functions as arbitrator unless the act or omission is determined to have been in bad faith. This provision applies to an employee or agent of an arbitrator as it applies to the arbitrator but it does not affect any liability incurred by an arbitrator by reason of resignation.

6.7 Do the national courts have jurisdiction to deal with procedural issues arising during an arbitration?

The extent of intervention of the Courts is limited by section 34 of the ACA to the extent permitted by the ACA. The Court's power of intervention as permitted by the ACA is limited to such issues as appointment of tribunal or substitute arbitrators, removal of arbitrator on ground of misconduct, making of interim orders, compelling attendance of witnesses, enforcement and recognition of awards or refusal of same, setting aside of awards. By virtue of section 33 of the ACA, any procedural issues in arbitration ought to be raised before the tribunal and it is only if the tribunal fails to deal with the issues or does not adequately deal with them that the Court can be called upon to deal with the procedural issues after the conclusion of arbitral proceedings. This is usually done by way of an application to set aside the award in whole or in part or to refuse recognition and enforcement of same. In this regard Nigerian law is more in consonance with the Model Law and does not allow the UK Arbitration Act 1996 procedure which allows intervention by the Courts on various questions of law decided by the tribunal.

Note that Order 52 rule 9 of the Federal High Court Rules 2009 allows an arbitrator or umpire upon any reference by an order of Court, if he thinks fit and in the absence of any contrary provision to state its award as to the whole or any part of it in the form of a special case for the opinion of the Court. But the Rules of Court are only binding on the Court that is subject to it.

6.8 What is the approach of the national courts in Nigeria towards *ex parte* procedures in the context of international arbitration?

The Courts in Nigeria adopt the same rules applicable to *ex parte* procedures in Court proceedings. One the conditions precedent for the grant of the *ex parte* application are met by the applicant, the Courts are inclined to grant it.

As regards ex parte procedures in arbitration, the ACA requires that each party must be given fair hearing and equal opportunity of presenting its case. See section 14 and section 15(2) of the ACA. This is a mandatory provision which goes against the notion of *ex parte* procedures in arbitration and the Courts are bound to uphold it. However, under the ACA, an arbitrator can conduct default proceedings or make a default award in the event of failure or refusal of parties to participate in the arbitral proceedings. See section 21 of the ACA.

7 Preliminary Relief and Interim Measures

7.1 Under the governing law, is an arbitrator permitted to award preliminary or interim relief? If so, what types of relief? Must an arbitrator seek the assistance of a court to do so?

Under the ACA an arbitrator has power to order any party to take such interim measure of protection as the arbitral tribunal may consider necessary in respect of the subject matter of the dispute and to require any party to provide appropriate security in connection with any measure taken. See section 13 of the ACA. There is no restriction on the type of interim reliefs which the arbitrator can grant; however it is suggested here that in awarding interim reliefs, the arbitrator should be careful to act within the scope of his jurisdiction as determined from the arbitration agreement and the law applicable to the contract.

Although section 13 of the ACA confers on the arbitrator the power to grant interim reliefs without recourse to Court, it is doubtful if the arbitrator can enforce compliance with its interim orders since an arbitrator has no coercive powers. The Lagos Arbitration Law 2009 puts it more clearly by providing in section 29(1) that an interim measure issued by an arbitral tribunal shall be binding unless otherwise provided by the arbitral tribunal, recognised and enforced upon application to the High Court by a party, irrespective of the jurisdiction or territory in which it was issued subject to the provisions of subsections (2) and (3) of this section.

7.2 Is a court entitled to grant preliminary or interim relief in proceedings subject to arbitration? In what circumstances? Can a party's request to a court for relief have any effect on the jurisdiction of the arbitration tribunal?

The ACA does not expressly give the Courts power to grant interim reliefs in respect of arbitral proceedings. However, the Courts are entitled by the Rules of Court and under their inherent jurisdiction to grant interim orders in any matter where there is a situation of urgency and this power of Court can be inferred from Article 26(3) of the Arbitration Rules. Thus once a party can show that there is a situation of urgency which will cause irreparable harm if not remedied by an interim order of the Court, the Court is entitled to grant the order. See ***Afribank v. Haco*** *supra*.

The Lagos Law expressly confers on the Court the power to make interim orders in respect of arbitral proceedings. See sections 6(3) and 21 thereof.

7.3 In practice, what is the approach of the national courts to requests for interim relief by parties to arbitration agreements?

The Courts are generally careful about granting interim reliefs. In litigation, the Courts will only grant interim reliefs in situations of real urgency that might cause irreparable damage if not remedied, where there is a threat of violation of the applicant's rights or interest and damages will not be an adequate remedy, etc. Similarly, in relation to arbitral proceedings, the Courts will only grant interim reliefs when there are convincing circumstances of urgency for instance where the arbitral tribunal has not yet been constituted or will not be constituted in time and there is an urgent need to preserve the *res* from destruction or removal from jurisdiction. Where an arbitral tribunal has already been constituted, it is likely that the Courts will require the application for interim relief to be brought first before the tribunal itself under section 13 of the ACA.

7.4 Does the national law allow for the national court and/or arbitral tribunal to order security for costs?

The national Courts have the power to order security for costs under the various Rules of Court. The ACA confers similar powers on an arbitral tribunal but does not confer an express power on the Courts to order security for costs in relation to arbitration proceedings. Section 13(b) of the ACA provides that the arbitral tribunal may require any party to provide appropriate security in connection with

any interim measure made or taken. Sections 26(1) & 29(3) of the Lagos Law contain a similar provision.

8 Evidentiary Matters

8.1 What rules of evidence (if any) apply to arbitral proceedings in Nigeria?

The ACA and the Arbitration Rules contain minimal procedural provisions on rules of evidence. See section 20 of the ACA and Articles 24–29 of the Rules. In Nigeria, the substantive law of Evidence in legal proceedings is the Evidence Act (Cap E.14 Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004) but by virtue of section 1(2) (a) of the Evidence Act, the Evidence Act is not strictly applicable to arbitral proceedings. However, the general rules of evidence like fair hearing, natural justice, equal treatment of parties and full opportunity of parties to present their case, rule against hearsay evidence, etc. are applicable to arbitral proceedings by virtue of the provisions of the ACA and case law. With the agreement of parties, an arbitral tribunal may adopt any other rules of evidence which it considers appropriate. Tribunals in Nigeria sometimes adopt the IBA Rules of Taking Evidence.

8.2 Are there limits on the scope of an arbitrator's authority to order the disclosure of documents and other disclosure of discovery (including third party disclosure)?

Article 24(3) of the Arbitration Rules provides that the tribunal may at any time during the arbitral proceedings, require the parties to produce documents, exhibits, or other evidence within such a period of time as the arbitral tribunal shall determine. Section 20(6) of the ACA provides that any party to an arbitral proceeding may issue out a writ of subpoena *ad testificandum* or subpoena *duces tecum* i.e. for the purpose of compelling attendance of a witness to give oral testimony or to produce documents. By these provisions, an arbitral tribunal has authority to order the disclosure of documents (including third party disclosure). This power is however limited by the proviso in section 20(6) of the ACA to the extent that no person can be compelled under any writ of subpoena to produce any document which he could not be compelled to produce on the trial of an action.

8.3 Under what circumstances, if any, is a court able to intervene in matters of disclosure/discovery?

By virtue of section 23(1) of the ACA, a Court is able to intervene to compel the disclosure of documents. Section 23(1) provides that the Court or judge may order that a writ of subpoena *ad testificandum* or of subpoena *duces tecum* be issued to compel the attendance before any tribunal of a witness wherever he may be within Nigeria. Thus where under section 20(6) of the ACA or Article 24(3) of the Arbitration Rules, any person refuses to produce documents requested by a party or by the tribunal, the Court can compel the disclosure or production of documents.

8.4 What, if any, laws, regulations or professional rules apply to the production of written and/or oral witness testimony? For example, must witnesses be sworn in before the tribunal? Is cross-examination allowed?

The ACA and the Rules do not provide detailed rules of taking evidence in arbitral proceedings. However Articles 24 and 25 of the Arbitration Rules contain general provisions on written and oral testimony. The arbitral tribunal is free to determine the admissibility, relevance, materiality and weight of the evidence offered. In practice, where witnesses give evidence by written statements, it dispenses with the need for examination-in-chief; witnesses simply adopt their written statements and are presented for cross examination and re-examination. The IBA Rules of Evidence contains and is often resorted to for a detailed procedure in taking evidence. See for example, Article 8.2 of the IBA Rules on the order of presentation of witnesses.

8.5 Under what circumstances does the law of Nigeria treat documents in an arbitral proceeding as being subject to privilege? In what circumstances is privilege deemed to have been waived?

According to section 20(6) of the ACA which provides that "no person can be compelled under any writ of subpoena to produce any document which he could not be compelled to produce on the trial of an action" it appears that the general rules on privileged documents will apply in arbitration. Generally, the following documents are subject to privilege: documents or communications made between a legal practitioner and his client in the course of his engagement (See ***Abubakar v. Chuks*** (2007) 18 NWLR (Part 1066) SC 386); documents or agreements made without prejudice between parties in the course of negotiations; and documents which by consent and agreement of parties have been agreed not to be used in proceedings. Exceptions to the rule of privileged documents include communications made in furtherance of an illegal purpose or showing that a crime or fraud has been committed. Parties may by agreement waive privilege.

9 Making an Award

9.1 What, if any, are the legal requirements of an arbitral award?

Section 26 of the ACA sets out the legal requirements of an arbitral award. It provides that arbitral award must be written, signed by the arbitrator or a majority of them in the case of 3 arbitrators, state the date and place it was made, contain the reasons on which it is based and must be published to the parties. Also, an arbitral award must not contain decisions or deal with disputes or matters not submitted to arbitration, must be in accordance with the arbitration agreement and governing law, must be enforceable and must not be contrary to public policy. See sections 48 and 52 of the ACA.

10 Appeal of an Award

10.1 On what bases, if any, are parties entitled to appeal an arbitral award?

An arbitral award has been held to be final and binding and generally not subject to appeal. See section 31 of the ACA. However, a party may apply to Court to set aside the award or to refuse recognition and enforcement of the award only on special grounds. See sections 29, 30, 48 & 52 of the ACA. The circumstances under which the Court would set aside an arbitral award are clearly spelt out in the aforementioned sections of the ACA to include:

- Incapacity of a party to the arbitration agreement.
- Arbitration agreement not valid under the law which the parties have indicated should be applied or under Nigerian law.
- A party is not given proper notice of the appointment of an

arbitrator or of the arbitral proceedings or was otherwise not able to present his case.

- That the award deals with a dispute not contemplated by or not falling within the terms of the submission to arbitration.
- That the award contains decisions on matters which are beyond the scope of the submission to arbitration.
- That the composition of the arbitral tribunal or the arbitral procedure was not in accordance with the agreement of the parties or the law of the country where the arbitration took place.
- That the award has not yet become binding on the parties or has been set aside or suspended by a Court of the country in which, or under the law of which, the award was made.
- That the subject matter of the dispute is not capable of settlement by arbitration under the laws of Nigeria or the recognition or enforcement of the award is against public policy of Nigeria

Beyond these, the Courts would not ordinarily interfere with the substance of an arbitral award. See ***Baker Marina (Nig.) Ltd. V. Danos & Curole Contractors Inc.*** (2001) 7NWLR (Part) 712 p. 340; Ebo***kan v. Ekwenibe & Sons Trading Co.*** (2001) 2NWLR (Part) 696 p. 32 at 36; ***Ras Pal Gazi Const.Co. v. F.C.D.A.*** (2001) 10NWLR pt.722 p. 559 at 564.

10.2 Can parties agree to exclude any basis of appeal or challenge against an arbitral award that would otherwise apply as a matter of law?

By virtue of Article 30 of the Arbitration Rules and section 33 of the ACA, parties may by conduct or agreement waive any ground of challenge that would otherwise apply as a matter of law. However, from the wordings of section 33 of the ACA, there are some mandatory provisions of the ACA from parties cannot derogate such as the existence of a valid arbitration agreement or a valid submission to arbitration and the formal validity of the award.

10.3 Can parties agree to expand the scope of appeal of an arbitral award beyond the grounds available in relevant national laws?

Nigerian Courts are not inclined to set aside or refuse recognition of an award unless there is convincing proof of any or all of the grounds stipulated in the ACA. Parties cannot by agreement expand the statutory grounds for challenge of an award. Arbitration is a voluntary and statutorily recognised dispute resolution mechanism in Nigeria and once parties agree to resolve their dispute by arbitration, they are bound by the award of an arbitration tribunal.

10.4 What is the procedure for appealing an arbitral award in Nigeria?

Generally, an award is not subject to appeal. However, an application for setting aside an award is to be brought by the aggrieved party within three months from the date of the award. See section 29 of the ACA. The ACA does not stipulate the mode of commencing proceedings to set aside i.e. whether by originating summons or by motion, etc. Consequently, the mode of commencement will be determined by the Rules of the Court to which the application is made. Under Order 39 rule 4 of the High Court of Lagos State (Civil Procedure Rules) 2004, it is by motion on notice while under Order 52 (15) of the Federal High Court Civil Procedure Rules 2009 it is by originating motion. The Court before which an application to set aside an arbitral award is brought may either suspend proceedings and remit the award back to the arbitral tribunal for reconsideration, or set aside the award.

11 Enforcement of an Award

11.1 Has Nigeria signed and/or ratified the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards? Has it entered any reservations? What is the relevant national legislation?

Nigeria has ratified the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards. The Convention is reproduced as Schedule 2 to the Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1988 Cap. A18 Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004.

11.2 Has Nigeria signed and/or ratified any regional Conventions concerning the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards?

Nigeria is a party to some Regional Conventions concerning the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards. See for instance the ECOWAS Energy Protocol. Article 26 thereof provides for the settlement of disputes between a contracting state and an investor by the ICSID if the investor's country and that of the contracting party are both parties to the ICSID Convention or a sole arbitrator or *ad hoc* arbitration tribunal established under the UNCITRAL Rules, or an arbitral proceeding under the Organisation for the Harmonisation of Trade Laws in Africa (OHADA). There is also the Treaty of ECOWAS (1993 revised Treaty). Article 16 thereof establishes an Arbitration Tribunal whose powers, status, composition and procedure were to be as set out in a subsequent Protocol.

In 1989, the Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration Lagos (RCICAL) was established in Lagos, Nigeria under the auspices of the Asian African Legal Consultative Organisation (AALCO) as a non profit, independent, international arbitral institution to provide amongst other things, a neutral forum for dispute resolution in international commercial transactions. Its establishment is also geared towards encouraging settlement of disputes arising from international trade and commerce and investments within the region where the contract was performed. The continued operations of RCICAL in Nigeria was ratified by a treaty executed in April 1999 between Nigeria and the AALCO. The legal framework for the existence of the RCICAL in Nigeria is embodied in the Regional Act No. 39 of 1999. The RCICAL has an autonomous international character and enjoys diplomatic privileges and immunities under international law for the unfettered conduct of its functions. See the Diplomatic Immunities and Privileges (Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration) Order 2001.

11.3 What is the approach of the national courts in Nigeria towards the recognition and enforcement of arbitration awards in practice? What steps are parties required to take?

In practice, the Courts in Nigeria will recognise and enforce an arbitral award in the absence of any valid and convincing ground for setting aside or for refusal of recognition and enforcement. A party applying for the recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award shall furnish the Court with:

(i) the duly authenticated original award or a duly certified copy thereof;

(ii) the original arbitration agreement or a duly certified copy thereof; and

(iii) where the award or arbitration agreement is not made in

English language, a duly certified translation thereof into the English language.

If the application is brought in the Lagos State High Court, the application is by motion on notice stating the grounds with supporting affidavit and the above mentioned documents. See Order 39 rule 4 of the Lagos High Court Civil Procedure Rules 2004. Under Order 52 rule 16 of the Federal High Court Civil Procedure Rules 2009, an application for enforcement of an award may be made *ex parte* but the Court hearing the application may order it to be made on notice. The application shall be supported with an affidavit which shall:

(a) exhibit the arbitration agreement and the original award or certified copies;

(b) state the name, usual or last known place of abode or business of the applicant and the person against whom it is sought to enforce the award; and

(c) state, as the case may require, either that the award has not been complied with or the extent to which it has not been complied with at the date of the application.

11.4 What is the effect of an arbitration award in terms of *res judicata* in Nigeria Does the fact that certain issues have been finally determined by an arbitral tribunal preclude those issues from being re-heard in a national court and, if so, in what circumstances?

An award disposes of all disputes between parties that were submitted to arbitration. Thus if a party brings a Court action on the same subject matter that has been disposed of by arbitration and on the same cause of action, the Court will dismiss the action on the ground that the issues are *res judicata* on the basis of issue estoppel. Issue estoppel arises where an issue had earlier on been adjudicated by a Court of competent jurisdiction between the same parties. The law is that either party to the proceedings is estopped from raising that same issue in any subsequent suit between the same parties and the same subject matter. ***Oyerogba v. Olaopa*** (1998) 13NWLR pt. 583 p .512. Issue estoppel also arises in respect of issues which ought to have been raised in the former suit but which were not raised. It applies to issues raised but not expressly decided; such issues are deemed to have been decided by implication and thus *res judicata*.

Issue estoppel has been held to extend to arbitration. See ***Middlemiss v. Hartlepool Corporation*** (1973) 1 A.E.R. 172. The question of whether an arbitral award will operate as *res judicata* has not been fully tested in Nigeria but the provision of section 31 of the ACA implies that an arbitral award has the same effect as the judgment of Court. See sections 31(1) & (3) which provide that an arbitral award shall be recognised as binding and may be enforced in the same manner as a Court judgment or order to the same effect.

12 Confidentiality

12.1 Are arbitral proceedings sited in Nigeria confidential? What, if any, law governs confidentiality?

Arbitral proceedings in Nigeria are confidential and general confidentiality rules apply. Article 25(4) of the Arbitration Rules provides that arbitration hearings shall be held in camera unless parties agree otherwise. In practice, the entire arbitral proceedings, not just hearings, are held in camera; only parties, their representatives and Counsel are usually allowed to attend. This explains why there are little or no reported arbitration cases in Nigeria.

The ACA does not contain an express provision on confidentiality in respect of arbitral proceedings but with regard to conciliation. Article 14 of the Third Schedule to the ACA provides that the conciliator and the parties must keep confidential all matters relating to the conciliation proceedings. Confidentiality extends to the settlement agreement, except where its disclosure is necessary for purposes of implementation and enforcement.

12.2 Can information disclosed in arbitral proceedings be referred to and/or relied on in subsequent proceedings?

Usually, since arbitration is confidential, information disclosed in arbitral proceedings ought not to be disclosed to third parties except with the consent of parties. However, just as there are exceptions to the rule of privileged evidence, certain circumstances may warrant the disclosure of such information, for instance, where a party is called upon by the Court to make a disclose of such matters or to produce documents relating to the arbitral proceedings, where such disclosure is necessary for the purpose of enforcement of an award, to prevent the perpetuation of fraud or illegality, etc. Note that the award itself can be referred to or relied on in subsequent Court proceedings.

12.3 In what circumstances, if any, are proceedings not protected by confidentiality?

The general principles of privilege and confidentiality such as those discussed in above apply.

13 Remedies / Interests / Costs

13.1 Are there limits on the types of remedies (including damages) that are available in arbitration (e.g., punitive damages)?

Generally, an arbitrator has a duty to abide by the terms of the arbitration agreement and of the substantive contract in rendering an award. The ACA does not specify the measure of reliefs or damages which an arbitrator can award and an arbitrator can award a range of remedies such as injunctions, monetary compensation, general or special damages, punitive damages, declaratory relief, specific performance, interest, cost, and so on. The terms of the substantive contract or arbitration agreement and the law applicable to same would determine how far the arbitrator can go and he must be careful not to exceed it.

13.2 What, if any, interest is available, and how is the rate of interest determined?

The ACA does not give an arbitrator express powers to award interest. However, an arbitrator has inherent powers to award interest on amounts successfully claimed based on the overriding principle of award of interest which presupposes that interest should be awarded to the Plaintiff not as compensation for the damage done but for being kept out of money which ought to have been paid to him. See ***N.B.N. Ltd. v. Savol W.A. Ltd.*** (1994) 3 NWLR (Part 333) Page 435 at 463 and ***R.E.A. v. Aswani Textile Industries*** (19991) 2 NWLR (Part 176) 639 at 671.

13.3 Are parties entitled to recover fees and/or costs and, if so, on what basis? What is the general practice with regard to shifting fees and costs between the parties?

Section 49 of the ACA provides that the arbitral tribunal shall award costs in its award. Costs include the fees of the arbitral tribunal, travel and other expenses incurred by the arbitrators, the cost of

expert advice and of other assistance required by the arbitral tribunal, travel and other expenses of witnesses to the extent approved by the tribunal, and the reasonable costs of legal representation and assistance of the successful party that were claimed during the arbitral proceedings. The general practice is that costs follow the event and the unsuccessful party pays the costs, subject however to the circumstances of each case, for instance, the extent to which the other party has been guilty of delay in the course of the arbitral proceedings. See Article 40 of the Arbitration Rules.

13.4 Is an award subject to tax? If so, in what circumstances and on what basis?

The ACA does not provide that an award is subject to tax. Since an award may be enforced as a Court judgment, the general rules of a judgment debt are applicable to an award. Under Nigerian tax laws certain services or transactions are taxable by law and an award becomes income to the receiving party which under a taxable contract or service is subject to tax. However in practice, like judgment debts, awards are not usually taxed when enforced.

14 Investor State Arbitrations

14.1 Has Nigeria signed and ratified the Washington Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965)?

Nigeria ratified the Washington Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States in August 1965. The Convention came into force in Nigeria in October 1966.

14.2 Is Nigeria party to a significant number of Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs) or Multilateral Investment treaties (such as the Energy Charter Treaty) that allow for recourse to arbitration under the auspices of the International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes ('ICSID')?

Nigeria is a party to a significant number of BITs. For instance there is a BIT between the Republic of Turkey and the Federal Republic of Nigeria Concerning the Reciprocal Promotion and Protection of Investments. Article VI thereof provides for submission of disputes to the ICSID, or to an *ad hoc* Court of arbitration under the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules or to the Court of Arbitration of the Paris International Chamber of Commerce. Others include the U.S-Nigeria Trade and Investment Framework Agreement (TIFA), Nigeria–Egypt, Nigeria-UK; Nigeria-Germany BITs for the Promotion and Protection of Investments, and many others.

Domestically, the Nigerian Investments Promotion Commission Act allows settlement of disputes under the auspices of ICSID. Section 26 provides that any dispute between a foreign investor and the Nigerian government shall be settled within the framework of any bilateral or multilateral agreement on investment protection to which the Federal Government and the investor's country are parties and where there is disagreement between the investor and the Federal Government as to the method of dispute settlement to be adopted, the ICSID Rules shall apply.

14.3 Does Nigeria have standard terms or model language that it uses in its investment treaties and, if so, what is the intended significance of that language?

Most of the investments treaties are in the English language because the English language is the official language of Nigeria. However, a few of the BITs are in the official language of the other country with whom Nigeria signed the BIT.

14.4 What is the approach of the national courts in Nigeria towards the defence of state immunity regarding jurisdiction and execution?

In Nigeria, section 308 of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria provides immunity from Court proceedings for the sovereign who is the executive arm of government. Thus, actions that are similar to this must be strictly construed in favour of the sovereign. The defence of state immunity does not however prevent Nigeria as a state or sovereign from agreeing to submit to the authority of an arbitral tribunal. As regards jurisdictional immunity, where Nigeria as a sovereign state has agreed to arbitrate, such agreement would be treated as a waiver of immunity. Generally, by virtue of the New York Convention which is domesticated in Nigeria as schedule 2 to the ACA, Nigerian Courts have jurisdiction to recognise an arbitral award made under an agreement to arbitrate where the seat of arbitration is Nigeria. Similarly, by virtue of the New York Convention, where Nigeria has signed a valid agreement to arbitrate, an award against it may be recognised and enforced by Courts in a foreign jurisdiction in which she has assets. Thus, a valid and binding agreement to arbitrate to which Nigeria is a party will also operate as a waiver of immunity from execution.

15 General

15.1 Are there noteworthy trends in the use of arbitration or arbitration institutions in Nigeria? Are certain disputes commonly being referred to arbitration?

Arbitration has largely developed in Nigeria partly due to the efforts of arbitration institutions and associations like the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators UK and the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators, Nigeria, the Maritime Arbitration Association and the Construction Arbitration Association, the Lagos Chamber of Commerce and Industry, the Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration, Lagos. The Courts in Nigeria have also encouraged arbitration and ADR through Multi-Door Court House and Rules of Court.

Generally, many commercial agreements now contain an arbitration clause and a wide range of disputes are increasingly being referred to arbitration especially in the construction, capital markets, oil and gas, maritime, and banking industries. Within these industry sectors, disputes involving breach of contract terms and shareholder disputes are common.

15.2 Are there any other noteworthy current issues affecting the use of arbitration in Nigeria such as pending or proposed legislation that may substantially change the law applicable to arbitration?

There is a draft bill to amend the ACA. Hopefully since it is the most popular arbitration law in Nigeria, it is intended to bring it up to date. Recent trend has been increasing the insertion of arbitration clauses with the Lagos State Arbitration Law as applicable law. We therefore expect increased relevance of the law in future as disputes begin to arise from those contracts.

Anthony Idigbe, SAN, FCIArb

PUNUKA Attorneys & Solicitors
Plot 45, Oyibo Adjarho Street
Lekki Peninsula Phase 1, Lagos
Nigeria

Tel: *+234 1270 4791 ext 103*
Fax *+234 1270 4990*
Email: *a.idigbe@punuka.com*
URL: *www.punuka.com*

Anthony Idigbe is the Managing Partner of PUNUKA Attorneys & Solicitors and has over 25 years' practice experience in Advocacy, Arbitration, Capital Markets, Mergers and Acquisitions, Government Regulatory Affairs, Telecommunications, Corporate and Commercial Law, Energy and Natural Resources, Banking, Project Finance, Public Private Partnerships, amongst others. Elevated to the rank of Senior Advocate of Nigeria (equivalent to Queens Counsel) in 2000, he is the lawyer of first choice in Nigeria for complex commercial litigation and dispute resolution. He is also widely consulted by government agencies in Nigeria such as the Bureau of Public Enterprises, Securities and Exchange Commission, Pension Commission and leading banks and businesses. He is a Fellow of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators, London and has acted in various capacities in arbitration cases as presiding arbitrator, arbitrator or Counsel to parties. He is the founder of the Capital Market Solicitors Association (CMSA) of Nigeria and was Chairman for many years. Anthony has an LLM from the University of Lagos, MBA from Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Diploma in advertising from the Advertising Practitioners Council, Diploma in International Commercial Arbitration from the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators, London and Diplomas in International Mergers and Acquisitions, Joint Ventures, Intellectual Property Law and Commercial Law from the College of Law of England and Wales. He is a member of the International Bar Association, Nigerian Bar Association, International Trademark Association and other professional associations. He is the author of many published articles and books in different fields of law including Arbitration, Capital Markets, legal practice and procedure, energy sector, Mergers and Acquisitions, to mention a few. He is a Notary Public of Nigeria.

Omone Foy-Yamah

PUNUKA Attorneys & Solicitors
Plot 45, Oyibo Adjarho Street
Lekki Peninsula Phase 1,Lagos
Nigeria

Tel: *+234 1270 4791 ext 217*
Fax *+234 1270 4990*
Email: *o.foy@punuka.com*
URL: *www.punuka.com*

Omone Foy-Yamah is a Senior Associate in PUNUKA Attorneys & Solicitors. She has wide experience in arbitration and dispute resolution, legal consultancy and advisory services. She has been involved in advising various government regulatory bodies and corporate clients on a broad spectrum of legal and regulatory issues including privatization, energy/electricity, foreign investment, infrastructure reform, corporate governance, rule making and legal drafting. She has been involved as Counsel to parties and has provided administrative services in various arbitration proceedings. She has also been involved in litigation involving arbitration, insolvency, banking, employment and real estate matters. She is also experienced in the energy sector and assisted the legal team of consultants engaged by the Bureau of Public Enterprises in its privatization efforts of the Nigerian power sector and unbundling of Power Holding Company of Nigeria (PHCN) successor companies between 2006 and 2007. Omone holds a Bachelor of Laws (LL.B) Degree from the University of Benin, and a B.L from the Nigerian Law School. She is a member of the Nigerian Bar Association, Chartered Institute of Arbitrators, London and the Association of Professional Negotiators and Mediators. She is the recipient of several awards and honours.

Punuka
ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS

Founded in 1947 by a leading Nigerian jurist who later became a Justice of the Nigerian Supreme Court, PUNUKA Attorneys and Solicitors is one of Nigeria's and indeed Africa's leading law firms. With its extensive work and reputation in commercial litigation and dispute resolution, capital markets and securities regulation, telecommunications, company law and practice, international trade and investment, government regulatory services, privatisation, public private partnerships, consultancy and process advisory services, project finance, insolvency & business recovery, banking & insurance, energy & natural resources, aviation, shipping & maritime law, labour law & industrial relations, mergers & acquisitions, intellectual property, taxation, property law, competition & antitrust law, PUNUKA is a fully integrated and multi dimensional law practice.

The firm consists of four (4) Partners and over twenty (20) Associates and provides legal services for a highly diversified client base from its offices in the cities of Lagos, Abuja and Asaba. The firm is a member of many international law associations including Lawyers Associated Worldwide (LAW) and International Trademarks Association (INTA). PUNUKA is dedicated to delivering the highest standard of services, providing quality legal advice and delivering effective solutions to medium and large scale clients across all commercial sectors in Nigeria. Visit www.punuka.com for more information.